1 2 3 4 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 7 8 RICOH COMPANY, LTD., No. C-03-4669 MJJ (EMC) 9 Plaintiff, ORDER STRIKING REPLY ON 10 MOTION TO MOVE HEARING DATE v. AND DENYING DEFENDANTS' 11 AEROFLEX INCORPORATED, et al., REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION 12 Defendants. (Docket No. 400) 13 14 15 16 On March 28, 2006, the Court issued an order denying Defendants' motion to continue the hearing date on Ricoh's motion for sanctions. Thereafter, Defendants filed a reply brief and request 17 for reconsideration. As Defendants' reply is not authorized under Civil Local Rule 7-11 governing 18 19 motions for administrative relief, the reply and supporting declaration is hereby stricken from the 20 record(docket nos. 400 and 401). Furthermore, Defendants did not seek leave of Court to file the 21 request for reconsideration pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7-9. Nevertheless, the Court has reviewed 22 Defendants' request for reconsideration, which is hereby DENIED. 23 24 IT IS SO ORDERED.

26 Dated: March 30, 2006

25

27

28

United States Magistrate Judge